

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,524 08/19/2003		08/19/2003	Steven M. Pietkiewicz	LT-139CONCIP 5964	
1473	7590	08/08/2005	EXAMINER		
FISH & NE			TSO, EDWARD H		
ROPES & G 1251 AVEN		P HE AMERICAS FL (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	K, NY 1	0020-1105	2838		

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/644,524		PIETKIEWICZ ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Edward H. T	I	2838			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the c	over sneet with the co	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start period by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statutor riod will apply and will exatute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. b (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/	/16/05.					
2a)□		 This action is non	-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) be rection is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Der No(s)/Mail Date 2/13/04; 3/16/05.	5/08)) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDSes filed 2/13/04 and 3/16/05 have both been considered and placed of record. The initialed copies are attached herewith.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2-99933 ('33). Reference '33 discloses charging a capacitor 2 to a full charge level (p 6, lines 14-19 and figure 1) comprising, *inter alia*, delivering power to the capacitor (p 11, line 23 to p 12, line 1 and figures 1 & 3), terminating power delivery to the capacitor

under the claimed condition (p 12, lines 1-10 and figures 1 & 3) and restarting the power delivery after a predetermined time period (p 12, lines 13-18). Measuring the capacitor voltage level to determine whether the capacitor reaches the claimed level can be found on p 12, lines 1-4 and figure 3 of '33. The claimed feature of determining ON time, OFF time and cycling between them is inherent in '33. The oscillation rising circuit 4 has charges, which oscillate back and forth between the primary and secondary windings of transformer 4B and then pump into the capacitor 10. Measuring circuitry that measures the output voltage level can be found in the charge completion detection circuit 7 of '33.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,021. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably Application/Control Number: 10/644,524

Art Unit: 2838

Page 4

distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to cycle the on/off time in order to reduce the power dissipation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mike Sherry, can be reached on 571 272 2084.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner 571 272 2087